

Goal Programming Model in Tackling The Optimal Building Material for Production Planning

Hendra Cipta*, Rina Widyasari

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

*Corresponding author E-mail: hendracipta@uinsu.ac.id

Abstract

The goal achieved in the preparation of production planning is more than one. UD Rezeki Berkah is a small business medium-sized enterprise engaged in the production of building materials. UD Rezeki Berkah aims to meet market demand and must consider the costs used during production to maximize profit. Because of these problems, it will be solved with a goal programming model where this method can solve more than one goal to get a model with optimal goal priority. This research provides an optimal solution, namely achieving the target sales volume and production costs within the Rp limit target. 929,128,971, and profit targets reached Rp. 562,751,890 for a period of one year.

Keywords: Profit, Production Planning, Goal Programming, Optimal Goal Priority.

1. Introduction

Advances in the industrial sector are proliferating today. The industry is progressing, so much existing problems will be more complex. Every growing industry needs experts to help develop its industry in solving emerging problems [1]. Many methods in the industrial sector are used to repair and build industry. Various ways are expected to be able to make the industry and business that they live to grow, keep it running, and make a profit [2]. Every operation in the ideal goods industry will produce enough products but get optimal profit and minimal costs, so its operations remain to walk. In the goods industry, things that need attention need to be corrected. One is the product, starting from a large number of production, quality, and quality [3]-[4]. To optimize profits, one of them is by determining the production planning optimal. The company can prepare production estimates that will be carried out to be precise and optimal at minimum cost.

Production planning is one of the determinants of company success [5]. Production planning determines the amount of product to be produced and the material for consideration obtained from the data beforehand to suit market demands [6]. Fulfilling market demand must also be followed by utilizing optimal resource availability. Condition resources such as raw materials, labor, machinery, and other equipment are required for the process production. In the preparation of production planning, many things are considered due to planning optimization production so that the lowest cost level for carrying out production activities is achieved. Optimizing the production planning process enables goals you want to achieve more than one [7].

To solve optimization problems, production planning can use various programming methods [1], [8]. This method has the potential to solve multiple aspects of the conflict between the elements in the plan production [9]. Besides that, The decision maker and the level the aspirations of the decision maker must be taken into account. Goal programming is a method that solves linear programming problems with more than one goal [10]-[11]. In linear programming, the objective function is to maximize or minimize so that the whole management objectives will be formulated into one function purpose [12]. As a result, the system can be optimal conditions for one goal and must ignore the other [13]. Unlike the linear program, on-goal programming minimizes the deviation from each plan to be achieved so that the results are optimally achieved without neglecting other purposes [14].

UD Rezeki Berkah, located in Tanjung Pura, North Sumatra, is a small-medium business that produces building materials. Building materials are made from sand, cement, and stone as raw materials. Building materials include adobe bricks, block bricks, round and split rails, well bus, and close the bus. In the production process, UD Rezeki Blessings plan based on the number of existing requests and try to fulfill market demand. The market demand is characteristic of fluctuating between the number of businesses engaged in the same field. However, in planning the production, UD Rezeki Berkah is concerned with fulfilling requests existing in the market and must pay attention to the cost already issued in the production process so that profits are the maximum.

Goal programming method to optimize production planning, which aims to determine a combination of types of products, minimize production costs, and maximize machine utilization. The research found that the goal programming method effectively solves multi-purpose problems with different goals to achieve the desired profit targeted.



2. Literature Review

2.1. Single Exponential smoothing

This method assumes that there is no uptrend or only a horizontal change in the surroundings or fixed. In this method, additional parameters serve to reduce the randomness factor. Shape generally can be written [15]-[16]:

$$F_{t+1} = \alpha X_t + (1 - \alpha) F_t \quad (1)$$

2.2. Double Exponential Smoothing

This method will be more able to complete forecasting data with line changes straight or trending. Strategies that can be used include the double exponential smoothing method. Holt's dual exponential smoothing forecast is obtained using two smoothing constants, namely, α and γ (with values between 0 and 1). The general form is [17]:

$$S_t = \alpha X_t + (1 - \alpha)(S_{t-1} + b_{t-1}) \quad (2)$$

$$b_t = \gamma(S_t - S_{t-1}) + (1 - \gamma)(b_{t-1}) \quad (3)$$

$$F_{t+m} = S_t + b_t m \quad (4)$$

2.3. Winter's Exponential Smoothing

This forecasting method can be used if the data pattern is seasonal. If the data shows static data, then the average process moving and a single exponential is appropriate. If the data shows a linear trend, then the exponential method double is just right. This method is based on three equations, namely the trend element, stationary and seasonal, which can be written [18]:

$$S_t = \alpha \left(\frac{X_t}{I_{t-L}} \right) + (1 - \alpha)(S_{t-1} + b_{t-1}) \quad (5)$$

$$I_t = \beta \left(\frac{X_t}{S_t} \right) + (1 - \beta)b_{t-1} \quad (6)$$

$$F_{t+m} = (S_t + b_t m) I_{t-L+m} \quad (7)$$

2.4. Goal Programming Model

The patterns of goal programming models [10], [19]:

Minimize:

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i^+ + d_i^-) \quad (8)$$

Constraints:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j - d_i^+ + d_i^- = b_i \quad (9)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ (constraints),

$$\sum_{j=1}^n g_{kj} X_j \leq \text{or} \geq C_k \quad (10)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ (optional constraints),

$$X_j \geq 0 \quad (11)$$

$$d_i^+, d_i^- \geq 0 \quad (12)$$

2.5. Goal Programming Model for Priority

The objective function of the goal programming model for priority using the equation [8], [20]:

$$\text{Min} Z = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k (d_i^+ + d_i^-) \quad (13)$$

3. Methods

Data obtained from UD Rezeki Berkah will be processed with steps:

1. Make a sales forecast to determine the boundaries of sales volume targets, production costs, and target profits that will be used to create a goal programming model. The forecasting method used will be according to the data pattern. The data pattern and plot will

be made first with Minitab 18 software assistance. If the data tends to be stationary, then it will use equation (1); for patterned trend, then it will use equation (4); and if it has a seasonal pattern, it will use equation (7) in its sales forecasting.

2. Create a Goal Programming formulation with the following:
 - a. Decision variables used (types of building material)
 - X_1 = Amount of brick production
 - X_2 = Number of brick block production
 - X_3 = Total production of round reels
 - X_4 = Total production of split reels
 - X_5 = Number of healthy bus production
 - X_6 = total production of closed bus
 - b. Determine and formulate the objective constraint function using equation (9)
 - c. Prioritization
 - Priority 1: Maximizing production volume.
 - Priority 2: Minimizing production costs.
 - Priority 3: Maximizing profit targets from sales.
 - d. Determination of the objective function using equation (13)
 - e. Model completion will be completed using simplex and POM-QM Software.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Data Descriptions

Table 1. Data on Building Material Sales in 2022

No	Month	Product Type (piece)						Amount
		X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	X_5	X_6	
1	January	20500	20500	475	435	326	289	42525
2	February	23400	21000	543	456	320	289	46008
3	March	24000	23500	555	476	350	276	49157
4	Apri	26500	26500	550	450	340	266	54606
5	May	28500	28000	461	420	330	258	57969
6	June	31000	29500	615	435	335	278	62163
7	July	27000	27500	545	420	320	280	56065
8	August	26500	27000	600	380	285	265	55030
9	September	27500	26500	575	445	365	255	55640
10	October	27800	28000	480	420	358	248	57306
11	November	27750	28500	680	396	345	225	57896
12	December	28100	28000	560	410	362	230	57662
Total		318550	314500	6639	5143	4036	3159	65202

Table 2. Cost of Production (In Rupiah)

No	Type Product	Cost of Raw material	Cost of Live Work	Overheads Cost	Total cost Production
1	X_1	650	300	20	970
2	X_2	450	250	20	720
3	X_3	8500	3000	500	12000
4	X_4	5200	3500	400	9100
5	X_5	28500	7500	500	36500
6	X_6	26000	5000	500	31500

Table 3. Selling Price Data for Each Type of Product (In Rupiah)

Type of Product	Selling Price
X_1	1500
X_2	1300
X_3	20000
X_4	15000
X_5	60000
X_6	55000

4.2. Forecasting Results of Building Materials

Forecasting Building Materials Results using equation (5)-(7).

Table 4. Sales Forecasting Results for Each Type of Product in 2022

No	Month	Product Type (piece)						Amount
		X ₁ (48%)	X ₂ (48%)	X ₃ (1%)	X ₄ (80%)	X ₅ (70%)	X ₆ (60%)	
1	January	20788,8	20788,8	433,1	34648	30317	25986	20788,8
2	February	25353,6	25353,6	528,2	42256	36974	31692	25353,6
3	March	24305,28	24305,28	506,36	40508,8	35445,2	30381,6	24305,28
4	Apri	24373,44	24373,44	507,78	40622,4	35544,6	30466,8	24373,44
5	May	29206,08	29206,08	608,46	48676,8	42592,2	36507,6	29206,08
6	June	30869,76	30869,76	643,12	51449,6	45018,4	38587,2	30869,76
7	July	20595,84	20595,84	429,08	34326,4	30035,6	25744,8	20595,84
8	August	22824	22824	475,5	38040	33285	28530	22824
9	September	21893,28	21893,28	456,11	36488,8	31927,7	27366,6	21893,28
10	October	25615,68	25615,68	533,66	42692,8	37356,2	32019,6	25615,68
11	November	28332,48	28332,48	590,26	47220,8	41318,2	35415,6	28332,48
12	December	27795,84	27795,84	579,08	46326,4	40535,6	34744,8	27795,84

4.3. Calculation of Goal Programming Model

1. Constraint functions for each product are:

$$X_1 + d_1^- - d_1^+ = a_{1k}$$

$$X_2 + d_2^- - d_2^+ = a_{2k}$$

$$X_3 + d_3^- - d_3^+ = a_{3k}$$

$$X_4 + d_4^- - d_4^+ = a_{4k}$$

$$X_5 + d_5^- - d_5^+ = a_{5k}$$

$$X_6 + d_6^- - d_6^+ = a_{6k}$$

The objective function is:

$$\text{Min } Z = \sum_{i=1}^6 (d_i^- + d_i^+)$$

2. Production Cost Constraints

Function model constraints:

$$970X_1 + 720X_2 + 12000970X_3 + 9100X_4 + 36500X_5 + 31500X_6 + d_7^- - d_7^+ = b_k$$

The objective function is:

$$\text{Min } Z = d_7^+$$

3. Profit target constraints

Function model constraints:

$$485,5X_1 + 450,5X_2 + 8920X_3 + 6530X_4 + 20500X_5 + 25450X_6 + d_8^+ - d_8^- = c_k$$

The objective function is:

$$\text{Min } Z = d_8^-$$

4. Calculation of Goal Programming Model using POM-QM Software

Based on the results of data analysis assisted by POM-QM software, the results obtained were optimum in its solution. The optimal value can be known from the deviation variable or deviation value or predetermined target limit production in volume, production costs, and profit targets. Here are the deviation values between the target target limits from January to December 2022.

Table 5. Deviations Between Production Cost Constraints With Optimal Solution

No	Month	Cost of Product			Optimal Solution
		Target	d_7^-	d_7^+	
1	January	64.125.205	0	0	64.125.205
2	February	78.070.520	0	0	78.070.520
3	March	74.850.051	0	0	74.850.051
4	Apri	75.065.990	0	0	75.065.990
5	May	89.950.345	0	0	89.950.345
6	June	76.965.800	0	0	76.965.800
7	July	81.540.207	0	0	81.540.207
8	August	70.250.568	0	0	70.250.568
9	September	67.583.535	0	0	67.583.535
10	October	78.880.250	0	0	78.880.250
11	November	86.250.800	0	0	86.250.800
12	December	85.595.700	0	0	85.595.700
Total		929.128.97			929.128.97

Table 6. Deviations Between Profit Targets With Optimal Solution

No	Month	Cost of Product			Optimal Solution
		Target	d_8^-	d_8^+	
1	January	39.550.960	0	0	39.550.960
2	February	47.250.300	0	0	47.250.300
3	March	44.820.760	0	0	44.820.760
4	Apri	45.290.120	0	0	45.290.120
5	May	54.340.850	0	0	54.340.850
6	June	47.835.760	0	0	47.835.760
7	July	46.785.780	0	0	46.785.780
8	August	43.420.180	0	0	43.420.180
9	September	40.890.560	0	0	40.890.560
10	October	47.465.280	0	0	47.465.280
11	November	53.540.450	0	0	53.540.450
12	December	51.560.890	0	0	51.560.890
Total		562.751.890			562.751.890

4.4. Discussion

In table 5, the value for each month. The zero deviation value indicates that the excess and product shortages did not occur, so UD Rezeki Berkah will be able to meet market demand in 2022. Table 5 to shows that value $d_7^+ = 0$ for every month means the goal of minimizing production costs above the target is reached so the company will not experience excess production costs that have been targeted previously during the year, that is, Rp. 929.128.971,-. Table 6 also shows that value $d_8^- = 0$ means the achievement of the goal to minimize profits below targets that have been planned, then the profit UD Rezeki Berkah will be at least Rp. 562,751,890 during 2022.

5. Conclusion

Based on the previous discussion, it can be concluded that the preparation of planning production is to consider three objective constraints, namely sales volume to meet market demand every month and production costs within the target limit of Rp. 929.128.971 for one year, and the profit target is also achieved Rp. 562,751,890 for a period of one year. Obtained the three objectives get a zero deviation value towards the target to be achieved.

References

- [1] M. Anis and S. Nandiroh, "Optimasi Perencanaan Produksi Dengan Metode Goal Programming," *J. Ilm. Tek. Ind.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 133–143, 2007.
- [2] J. F. Chapman, "Marketing Myopia Theodore Levitt," *Mind*, pp. 1–25, 2019.
- [3] R. Amalia, T. Yulianto, I. Nofita Sari, and J. Matematika, "Penerapan Metode Goal Programming untuk Optimasi Biaya Produksi pada Produk Air Mineral Aqua di Bangkalan," *Zeta Math J.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 31–36, 2016.
- [4] H. A. P. Y A Titilias, L Linawati, "Optimasi Perencanaan Produksi Kayu Lapis PT. XXX Menggunakan Metode Goal

- Programming,” *J. MIPA*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 13–19, 2018.
- [5] K. E. Papke-Shields, M. K. Malhotra, and V. Grover, “Evolution in the strategic manufacturing planning process of organizations,” *J. Oper. Manag.*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 421–439, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jom.2005.11.012.
- [6] B. M. Beamon, “Supply chain design and analysis: Models and methods,” *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 281–294, 2018, doi: 10.1016/S0925-5273(98)00079-6.
- [7] P. P. Kumar, “Goal programming through bakery production,” *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 3722–3725, 2019.
- [8] M. Mezghani, A. Rebai, A. Dammak, and T. Loukil, “A goal programming model for aggregate production planning problem,” *Int. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 23–34, 2009, doi: 10.1504/IJOR.2009.021616.
- [9] T. Koponen *et al.*, “Onix A Distributed Control Platform for Large.pdf,” *USENIX Conf. Oper. Syst. Des. Implement*, vol. 10, pp. 1–14, 2019, [Online]. Available: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1924943.1924968>
- [10] J. Ignizio, *Introduction to Linear Goal Programming*. Sage Publications, Inc., 2011. DOI: 10.4135/9781412984669.
- [11] H. Gong and Z. H. Zhang, “Benders decomposition for the distributionally robust optimization of pricing and reverse logistics network design in remanufacturing systems,” *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 297, no. 2, pp. 496–510, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2021.04.039.
- [12] D. Ozgen and B. Gulsun, “Combining possibilistic linear programming and fuzzy AHP for solving the multi-objective capacitated multi-facility location problem,” *Inf. Sci. (NY)*, vol. 268, pp. 185–201, 2017, DOI: 10.1016/j.ins.2014.01.024.
- [13] W. D. Gray and W. T. Fu, “Soft constraints in interactive behavior: The case of ignoring perfect knowledge in the world for imperfect knowledge in the head,” *Cogn. Sci.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 359–382, 2020, DOI: 10.1016/j.cogsci.2003.12.001.
- [14] E. H. Özder, E. Özcan, and T. Eren, “Staff task-based shift scheduling solution with an ANP and goal programming method in a natural gas combined cycle power plant,” *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2019, DOI: 10.3390/math7020192.
- [15] R. J. Vanderbei, *Linear Programming Foundations, and Extensions*, Fifth Edit. USA: Springer USA, 2020.
- [16] M. s. Bazaraa, H. d. Sherali, and C. M. Shetty, *Nonlinear Programming Theory And Algorithms*, Third Edit. A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Publication, 2006. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-68651-4_4.
- [17] R. Jayaraman, C. Colapinto, D. Liuzzi, and D. La Torre, “Planning sustainable development through a scenario-based stochastic goal programming model,” *Oper. Res.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 789–805, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s12351-016-0239-8.
- [18] I. Naim, T. Mahara, and A. R. Idrisi, “Effective Short-Term Forecasting for Daily Time Series with Complex Seasonal Patterns,” *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 132, pp. 1832–1841, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2018.05.136.
- [19] H. S. Mokhtar S. Bazaraa, John J. Jarvis, *Linear Programming and Network Flows*. New Jersey: WILEY A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Publication, 2010.
- [20] Suparyanto dan Rosad (2015, *Riset Operasi: Analisis Perhitungan Matematik dan Aplikasinya*, vol. 5, no. 3. Bandung: Manggu Makmur Tanjung Lestari, 2020.